PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

PART ONE: INSTRUCTIONS

The company who has provided you with this form is proposing on a Defense Finance and Accounting Service solicitation to provide <u>Department of Defense Military Retired and Annuity Pay services</u>. Past Performance is an extremely important part of the evaluation criteria for this acquisition, so your input is very important. The questionnaire should be filled out, placed in a sealed envelope, and returned back to the company. The company will then forward the sealed envelopes to the Government with their proposal for evaluation. **This information will not be disclosed to the offeror.** Please provide an **honest assessment** and return the questionnaire to the contractor in a sealed envelope. If you have questions, please contact **Mr. Dave Brown at (703) 607-5706.**

PART TWO: GENERAL INFORMATION	
1. OFFEROR'S NAME AND ADDRESS	2. CUSTOMER ORGANIZATION
3. CONTRACT NUMBER:	2a. EVALUATOR
4. CONTRACT VALUE (Circle One):	NAME:
<\$1 million >\$5 million >\$20 mil.	TITLE:
	PHONE NO:
5. CONTRACT AWARD DATE:	6. CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE:
7. CONTRACT TYPE (Circle All That Apply):	8. COMPLEXITY OF WORK (Circle One Response):
FP CPFF CPAF OTHER	DIFFICULT ROUTINE

PART TWO: GENERAL INFORMATION CONTINUED

9.	BRIEF D	ESCRIPTIO	N OF YOUR C	CONTRACT R	EQUIREMEN.	18:	

PART THREE: OFFEROR PERFORMANCE RATING

On the following pages, please summarize the offeror's performance in each rating factors. Each factor has a set of subfactors with four possible adjectival ratings. Determine the adjectival rating that most nearly represents your experience with this offeror and indicate your assessment by placing an "X" under the appropriate heading. Offeror performance factors are:

- A. QUALITY OF SERVICES/REPORTS
- **B. PROJECT MANAGEMENT RESOURCE ALLOCATION**
- C. TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE
- D. COST EFFECTIVENESS

Adjectival ratings are defined below and should be used as a reference in assessing performance:

OUTSTANDING =	Offeror's performance significantly exceeded most or all contract
	requirements. Furthermore, the offer provided significant, unusual,
	unique, or worthwhile features or benefits and performance was of
	the highest quality. No risk anticipated with performance or

customer satisfaction.

BETTER= Offer's performance fully exceed many of the contract requirements.

Offeror's performance has resulted in a high level of efficiency and

productivity and quality. Very little risk anticipated with

performance or lack of customer satisfaction.

SATISFACTORY = Offer's performance met customer expectations or contract

requirements. Some potential risk and lack of customer

satisfaction anticipated based upon the offeror's past performance.

MARGINAL = Offeror's performance was less than satisfactory and could have stood

improvement. Significant potential risk and degradation

of performance anticipated based upon the offer's past performance.

NO PAST PERFORMANCE = No relevant past performance available for evaluation. Proposal

receives no merit or demerit for this factor.

DEI ENDE I IIVII VEE TII VOI TEEGOTI II VOI BERVIEE				1D/1220 00	7 TC 0001	
A	QUALITY OF SERVICE	Outstanding	Better	Satisfact.	Marginal	Neutral
1	Did the contractor deliver goods/perform services in a timely					
	manner.					
2	Were the contractor's reports and documentation accurate, complete and submitted in a timely manner?					

В	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	Outstanding	Better	Satisfact.	Marginal	Neutral
1	Did the contractor provide effective					
	contract management?					
2	Was the contractor able to solve					
	contract performance problems without					
	extensive guidance from counterparts?					
3	Were replacement personnel supplied					
	by the contractor in a timely manner?					
4	How effective has the contractor been					
	in understanding and responding to user					
	requirements?					

C	TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE	Outstanding	Better	Satisfact.	Marginal	Neutral
1	Did the contractor adhere to contract					
	delivery schedules?					

D	COST EFFECTIVENESS	Outstanding	Better	Satisfact.	Marginal	Neutral
1	To what extent did the contractor meet					
	the cost estimate?					

PART THREE: OFFEROR PERFORMANCE RATING CONTINUED

1.	Has this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience?				
	YES	Default	Convenience		
	NO				

DEF	ENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	MDA220-00-R-600
	If yes, please explain (e.g. inability to meet cost, perform	nance, or delivery schedules -
	also include contract number, name, address, and phone	number of Terminating
	Contracting Officer - TCO).	
2.	What was the contractor's greatest strength in the perfor	rmance of the contract?
3.	What was the contractor's greatest weakness in the perfo	ormance of the contract?
4	W. 11	
4.	Would you award another contract to this contractor?	
	YES No	
COI	MMENTS:	
- ·		
PAI	RT FOUR: EVALUATOR'S CERTIFICATION	
	EREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION IN T	
AN	D COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGI	£.
SIG	NATURE OF EVALUATOR	
TTO		DATE
111	LE OF EVALUATOR	DATE